

Supreme Court Wraps up 2017 Term - *continued*

Benisek v. Lamone

Another partisan gerrymandering case, this time out of Maryland. The League organized [a rally outside the Court as arguments were heard](#). If the Court declares the state's maps unconstitutional, the decision could impact congressional maps nationwide.

It's likely a decision in both these partisan redistricting cases will be announced together. And since the Court holds the biggest decisions for last, those decisions will probably come out in June. The decisions in *Gill v. Whitford* and *Benisek v. Lamone* could set a standard for determining partisan gerrymandering, something the court has failed to do in the past. This could launch another round of redistricting court challenges around the country and it will most definitely have an impact on how maps are drawn in the next round of redistricting which starts after the 2020 Census.

Husted v. APRI

This case is [a challenge to the process that Ohio uses to purge voters](#) from their registration lists. [The League's amicus](#) argues that the Ohio process is illegal, inaccurate and unreasonable under the provisions established in Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act. The decision by the court in this case will change voter purge methods across the country. The wrong decision would open up egregious purging across the country and could make it so thousands if not millions of voters are unable to vote in the 2018 election.

Minnesota Voters Alliance v. Mansky

A [challenge to a Minnesota statute](#) that says a "political badge, political button or other political insignia may not be worn at or about the polling place on primary or election day". We [filed an amicus brief](#) arguing the law is designed to safeguard voting rights and should remain. This law is necessary to protect voters from intimidation in polling places. Voters should have a safe, calm place to vote where they are free from outside influence.